

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Feb 04, 2016

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

BRENT L. VANGSNESS,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent.

NO: 2:15-CV-255-SMJ

ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
FILING FEE REQUIREMENTS

By Order filed December 4, 2015, the Court directed Petitioner to comply with the filing fee requirements to commence this action. ECF No. 6. A copy of this Order was sent to Petitioner at the Spokane County Jail, but was returned as undeliverable on December 17, 2015. ECF No. 7. Petitioner, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has not kept the Court apprised of his current address and has filed nothing further in this action.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

1. This action is **DISMISSED without prejudice** for failure to comply with the filing fee requirements set forth in Rule 3(a), Rules Governing


ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH FILING
FEE REQUIREMENTS -- 1

1 Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. *See also* Habeas
2 Rule 1(b) (“The district court may apply any or all of these rules to a
3 habeas corpus petition not covered by Rule 1(a).”).

4 **2.** All pending motions are **DENIED as moot.**

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk’s Office shall enter this Order, forward a
6 copy to Petitioner at his last known address and close the file. The Court further
7 certifies that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28
8 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R.App. P. 22(b).

9 **DATED** this 4th day of February 2016.

10 
11 _____
12 SALVADOR MENDOZA, JR.
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20